Corrective Action Verification/Gifted Education Compliance Plan - Bureau of Special Education

This form is designed to serve both as a planning tool for Improvement and as verification of completion of corrective action. It is to be submitted as a tracking document and with a LEA's written request for extensions to due dates for corrective action.

LEA: Cumberland Valley School District

Dr. Shirley Curl BSE Special Education Adviser:

Date: May 15 and 16, 2013 Date of 1st Visit:

Y	N	NA	% #	Citation	Required Corrective Action or Improvement	Timelines and Resources	Extension Date	Date Closed
				Policies and Procedures	Plan			
				1. GFSA-Strategic Plan and Policy				
X				Standard: LEA has a Strategic Plan that includes procedures for the education of all gifted students enrolled in the school district.				
				2. GFSA-Personnel				
X				Standard: In-Service training appropriately prepares and trains personnel to address the special knowledge, skills and abilities to serve the unique needs of gifted students.				
A				3. Special Education/Dual Exceptionalities				
	X			Standard: For students who are eligible for gifted services under Chapter 16 and also for special education services under Chapter 14, the LEA must demonstrate compliance with all requirements of Chapter 14.				
	X			4. GFSA-Screening and Evaluation Process Standard: LEA demonstrates compliance with annual public notice requirements.				
				5. GFSA-Gifted Education Placement				
	X			Standard: The LEA demonstrates that educational placement and instruction are based on each gifted student's needs in accordance with Chapter 16.				

Y	N	NA	% #	Citation	Required Corrective Action or Improvement	Timelines and Resources	Extension Date	Date Closed
					Plan			
				6. GFSA-Gifted procedural safeguards				
				Standard: The LEA will demonstrate compliance with the				
				gifted education procedural safeguard requirements of				
X				Chapter 16.				
				7. GFSA-Student Record Review				
				Standard: The LEA has developed gifted multidisciplinary				
	X			evaluation reports and gifted IEPs as required under Chapter 16 and has provided parents with procedural safeguards.				
	Λ			File Review (Completed by LEA team and BSE Team)				
				Report of Results by Frequency Count of Responses				
				PERMISSION TO EVALUATE (PTE)-CONSENT				
				FORM				
				The following information exists: 8. PTE-Consent form is present in the student file				
8	0	0	100%	1				
7	1	0	87%	9. Demographic data				
8	0	0	100%	10. Reason(s) for referral for evaluation for evaluation.				
7	0	1	100%	11. Proposed types of assessments and procedures.				
8	0	0	100%	12. Contact person's name and contact information.				
8	0	0	100%	13. Parent signature				
				GIFTED WRITTEN REPORT (GWR)				
				The following information exists: 14. GWR is present in the student file.				
8	0	0	100%	-				
6	0	2	100%	15. GWR was completed within timelines.				
1	_	7	1000/	16. A copy of the GWR was disseminated to parent(s)				
1	0	7	100%	within 5 calendar days after completion. 17. Demographic data				
8	0	0	100%	18. Date report was provided to parent.				
1	0	7	100%	1 1				
1	0	7	100%	19. Reason(s) for referral are present.				
1	0	7	100%	20. Reason(s) for referral reflect the reason(s) listed on the PTE-Consent Form.				
	Ŭ		100,0	21. Evaluations and information provided by the parents of				
				the student (or documentation of LEA's attempts to				
8	0	0	100%	obtain input).				

Y	N	NA	% #	Citation	Required Corrective Action or Improvement	Timelines and Resources	Extension Date	Date Closed
					Plan			
8	0	0	100%	22. Teacher observations and recommendation.				
				INVITATION TO PARTICIPATE IN THE IEP TEAM				
				MEETING OR OTHER MEETING The following information exists:				
				23. Invitation is present in the student file.				
7	1	0	87%	•				
				24. Invitation to Participate in GIEP Team Meeting was				
7	1	0	87%	issued prior to the meeting or documentation that parent				
/	1	U	87%	signed waiver to move directly to GIEP Meeting. 25. Demographic Data				
8	0	0	100%	5 1				
8	0	0	100%	26. Purpose(s) of the meeting.				
4	4	0	50%	27. Invited GIEP team members.				
6	2	0	75%	28. Date/time/location of Meeting.				
				29. Parent response, or documentation of parent attendance				
_				at the meeting, or documentation of multiple efforts to				
5	3	0	62%	encourage participation.				
				GIFTED INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PLAN (GIEP)				
				Documentation of GIEP Team Participation				
8	0	0	100%	30. Parent(s) (or documented efforts to have them attend).				
				31. Student (if parent(s) choose to have the student				
1	0	7	100%	participate).				
3	5	0	37%	32. One or more of the student's current regular education teachers.				
8	0	0	100%	33. Teacher of Gifted Students.				
6	2	0	75%	34. Local Education Agency Representative				
				35. Other individuals at the discretion of either the parent(s)				
0	0	8	100%	or LEA.				
8	0	0	100%	36. GIEP is present in the student file.				
6	0	2	100%	37. GIEP was completed within timelines.				
				The following information is present:				
8	0	0	100%	38. Demographic Data				

8	Y	N	NA	% #	Citation	Required Corrective Action or Improvement Plan	Timelines and Resources	Extension Date	Date Closed
Present Levels of Education Performance (PLEPS) The following information is present: 0	8	0	0	100%	39. GIEP implementation date.				
The following information is present:	8	0	0	100%	40. Anticipated duration of services and programs				
1					Present Levels of Education Performance (PLEPS)				
0					The following information is present:				
0 8 0 0% of academic achievement. ANNUAL GOALS AND OBJECTIVES (including academic and functional goals) (GIEP)	0	8	0	0%	41. Student's present levels of academic achievement.				
ANNUAL GOALS AND OBJECTIVES (including academic and functional goals) (GIEP) The following information is present:		0		0.07					
	0	8	0	0%					
The following information is present:									
0									
0	0	8	0	0%	43. Annual Goals are present.				
Solution Solution					44. Short term learning outcomes which are responsive to				
0 8 0 0% 46. Assessment procedures and timelines 47. Support Services based upon the GWR are present and include anticipated duration and frequency. NOTICE OF RECOMMENDED ASSIGNMENT (NORA)	0	8	0	0%	\mathcal{C}				
A	0	8	0	0%	•				
NOTICE OF RECOMMENDED ASSIGNMENT (NORA)	0	8	0	0%	·				
NOTICE OF RECOMMENDED ASSIGNMENT (NORA) 48. NORA is present in the student file. The following information is present: 49. Demographic data 7 1 0 87% 50. Type of action taken 51. A description of the action proposed or refused by the LEA. 5 3 0 62% 52. An explanation of why the LEA proposed or refused to take the action. 53. A description of the other options the GIEP team considered and the reason(s) why those options were rejected. 54. Description of each evaluation procedure, assessment, record or report used as the basis for proposed action or									
6 2 0 75% 48. NORA is present in the student file. The following information is present: 49. Demographic data 7 1 0 87% 50. Type of action taken 51. A description of the action proposed or refused by the LEA. 52. An explanation of why the LEA proposed or refused to take the action. 53. A description of the other options the GIEP team considered and the reason(s) why those options were rejected. 54. Description of each evaluation procedure, assessment, record or report used as the basis for proposed action or	0	8	0	0%					
6 2 0 75% The following information is present: 49. Demographic data 7 1 0 87% 50. Type of action taken 51. A description of the action proposed or refused by the LEA. 52. An explanation of why the LEA proposed or refused to take the action. 53. A description of the other options the GIEP team considered and the reason(s) why those options were rejected. 54. Description of each evaluation procedure, assessment, record or report used as the basis for proposed action or					NOTICE OF RECOMMENDED ASSIGNMENT (NORA)				
8 0 0 100% 49. Demographic data 7 1 0 87% 50. Type of action taken 6 2 0 75% 51. A description of the action proposed or refused by the LEA. 5 3 0 62% 52. An explanation of why the LEA proposed or refused to take the action. 5 3. A description of the other options the GIEP team considered and the reason(s) why those options were rejected. 5 4. Description of each evaluation procedure, assessment, record or report used as the basis for proposed action or	6	2	0	75%	48. NORA is present in the student file.				
7 1 0 87% 50. Type of action taken 51. A description of the action proposed or refused by the LEA. 52. An explanation of why the LEA proposed or refused to take the action. 53. A description of the other options the GIEP team considered and the reason(s) why those options were rejected. 54. Description of each evaluation procedure, assessment, record or report used as the basis for proposed action or									
51. A description of the action proposed or refused by the LEA. 52. An explanation of why the LEA proposed or refused to take the action. 53. A description of the other options the GIEP team considered and the reason(s) why those options were rejected. 54. Description of each evaluation procedure, assessment, record or report used as the basis for proposed action or	8	0	0	100%	<u> </u>				
6 2 0 75% LEA. 52. An explanation of why the LEA proposed or refused to take the action. 53. A description of the other options the GIEP team considered and the reason(s) why those options were rejected. 54. Description of each evaluation procedure, assessment, record or report used as the basis for proposed action or	7	1	0	87%	50. Type of action taken				
5 3 0 62% 52. An explanation of why the LEA proposed or refused to take the action. 53. A description of the other options the GIEP team considered and the reason(s) why those options were rejected. 54. Description of each evaluation procedure, assessment, record or report used as the basis for proposed action or		2	0	750/					
5 3 0 62% take the action. 53. A description of the other options the GIEP team considered and the reason(s) why those options were rejected. 54. Description of each evaluation procedure, assessment, record or report used as the basis for proposed action or	0	2	0	/5%					
53. A description of the other options the GIEP team considered and the reason(s) why those options were rejected. 54. Description of each evaluation procedure, assessment, record or report used as the basis for proposed action or	5	3	0	62%					
considered and the reason(s) why those options were rejected. 54. Description of each evaluation procedure, assessment, record or report used as the basis for proposed action or									
54. Description of each evaluation procedure, assessment, record or report used as the basis for proposed action or					considered and the reason(s) why those options were				
record or report used as the basis for proposed action or	0	8	0	0%					
* *									
	0	8	0	0%	action refused.				

Y	N	NA	% #	Citation	Required Corrective	Timelines and	Extension	Date
					Action or Improvement Plan	Resources	Date	Closed
0	8	0	0%	55. Description of other factor(s) relevant to LEA's proposal or refusal.				
7	1	0	87%	56. Educational placement recommended (including amount and type).				
8	0	0	100%	57. Signature of school district superintendent.				
8	0	0	100%	58. Copy of Notice of Parental Rights was given to parents during the school year.				
7	1	0	87%	59. NORA reflects the educational placement indicated on the student's GIEP.				
				INTERVIEW FOR TEACHER OF GIFTED STUDENTS	The LEA will consider interview responses in planning improvements for gifted education.			
8	0	0	100%	60. Are you knowledgeable about Chapter 16 and your role in providing gifted education?				
8	0	0	100%	61. Does the school district provide opportunity for parents to serve as a resource for gifted education services?				
8	0	0	100%	62. Are you familiar with the content of the student's GIEP including annual goals, student learning outcomes and support services?				
8	0	0	100%	63. Do you design and implement differentiated curriculum and instruction to meet the needs of gifted students?				
8	0	0	100%	64. Has the school district provided opportunities for training to adequately prepare you for the challenges of teaching gifted children?				
8	0	0	100%	65. Do you implement activities that extend above and beyond the general education curricula?				
8	0	0	100%	66. Were the annual goals, specially designed instruction, and support services developed before the gifted education services were determined?				
0	U		100/0	67. If the student is not making progress in the gifted program, has the student been reevaluated or has the				
8	0	0	100%	GIEP been reviewed? (Answer only if applicable)				
8	0	0	100%	68. Is the student receiving the services and supports agreed to in the GIEP?				
8	0	0	100%	69. Does the GIEP meet the student's needs?				
8	0	0	100%	70. Were Present Levels of Educational Performance (PLEPS) determined for each subject area prior to the GIEP meeting?				

Y	N	NA	% #	Citation	Required Corrective Action or Improvement Plan	Timelines and Resources	Extension Date	Date Closed
				INTERVIEW FOR REGULAR EDUCATION TEACHER(S)	The LEA will consider interview responses in planning improvements for gifted education.			
7	1	0	87%	71. Are you knowledgeable about Chapter 16, including the GMDE/GWR and the GIEP process and the teacher's role in providing gifted education?	for grited education.			
7	1	0	87%	72. Are you familiar with the content of the student's GIEP including annual goals, student learning outcomes, and support services?				
8	0	0	100%	 73. Do you design and implement differentiated curriculum and instruction to meet the needs of gifted students? 74. Has the school district provided opportunities for in-service and training to adequately prepare you for the 				
7	1	0	87%	challenges of teaching gifted children? 75. Were the annual goals, specially designed instruction and				
8	0	0	100%	support services developed before the gifted education services were determined? 76. Is the student making progress in meeting the annual				
8	0	0	100%	goals and learning outcomes of the GIEP? 77. Is the student receiving the services and supports agreed upon in the GIEP?				
8	0	0	100%	78. Does the GIEP meet the student's needs for specially designed instruction based upon his/her strength areas?				
7	1	0	87%	79. Were PLEPS determined for each subject area prior to the GIEP meeting? INTERVIEW FOR PARENT OF GIFTED	The LEA will consider			
				STUDENT	interview responses in planning improvements for gifted education.			
8	0	0	100%	80. Were you asked to provide information for your child's evaluation/reevaluation?81. Did you have an opportunity to participate in developing				
6	2	0	75%	your child's gifted education plan?				
6	2	0	75%	82. Was the GIEP developed at the GIEP meeting?83. Were the regular education teacher(s), teacher of the				
6	2	0	75%	gifted and the district representative present at the GIEP meeting?				

Y	N	NA	% #	Citation	Required Corrective	Timelines and	Extension	Date
					Action or Improvement Plan	Resources	Date	Closed
				84. Did the GIEP team consider the recommendations that				
			400	you and others made in your child's most recent				
8	0	0	100%	evaluation?				
				85. Were the annual goals, specially designed instruction,				
8	0	0	100%	and support services developed before the gifted education services were determined?				
- 0	0	0	10070	86. Are you aware of and understand gifted regulations,				
				parental rights, procedural safeguards, timelines, and				
8	0	0	100%	district policy on gifted education?				
				87. Do you participate in evaluating the learning				
				experiences of your child through the GMDE & GIEP				
7	1	0	87%	processes?				
	0		1000/	88. Do you believe that the expectations for your child in				
8	0	0	100%	the Gifted Program are appropriate? 89. Do you feel that the assessment methods are a good				
7	1	0	87%	reflection of your child's progress?				
,	1		0770	90. Do you believe that there is sufficient communication				
7	1	0	87%	between you and school district personnel?				
				91. Is your child receiving the supports and services agreed				
8	0	0	100%	upon at the GIEP meeting?				
				92. Were PLEPS determined for each subject area prior to				
8	0	0	100%	the GIEP meeting?				
				INTERVIEW FOR GIFTED STUDENT	The LEA will consider			
					interview responses in planning improvements			
					for gifted education.			
				93. Does the gifted program provide you with topics and	Tor greet cutetion.			
				opportunities that extend the curricula of the regular				
8	0	0	100%	classroom?				
7	1	0	87%	94. Did you participate in your GIEP meeting?				
,	-		0770	95. Did you participate in the development and evaluation				
8	0	0	100%	of your learning experiences?				
				96. Do you believe there is sufficient communication				
8	0	0	100%	between you and your gifted education teacher?				
			400	97. Are you provided with opportunities to generate ideas				
8	0	0	100%	and think creatively?				
o	0		1000/	98. Are you provided with opportunities for independent				
8	U	0	100%	study? 99. Have you had the opportunity to select projects and				
8	0	0	100%	topics of interest to you?				
U	U	U	100/0	topics of interest to you.		l	l .	

Y	N	NA	% #	Citation	Required Corrective	Timelines and	Extension	Date
					Action or Improvement	Resources	Date	Closed
					Plan			
				Other Non-Compliance Issues				
				Improvement Plan Issues				